

1 **WILLIAM H. SHAWN (PRO HAC
2 VICE)**

3 Email: wshawn@shawncoulson.com

4 **JAMES E. KING (CSB No. 90103)**

5 Email: jking@shawncoulson.com

ShawnCoulson, LLP

1850 M St., NW. Suite 280

Washington, DC 20036

T: (202) 331-2300

F: (202) 403-3747

7 **ANDREW P. BRIDGES (CSB No.**

122761)

8 Email: abridges@fenwick.com

9 **ILANA S. RUBEL (CSB No. 221517)**

Email: irubel@fenwick.com

10 **LIWEN MAH (CSB No. 239033)**

Email: lmah@fenwick.com

11 FENWICK & WEST LLP

555 California Street, 12th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94104

T: 415.875.2300

F: 415.281.1350

13 Counsels for Defendants iWeb Group,
14 Inc., iWeb Intellectual Property, Inc.,
and iWeb Technologies, Inc.

1 **ERIC J. BENINK (CSB No. 187434)**

2 ebenink@kkbs-law.com

3 Krause Kalfayan Benink & Slavens,
LLP

4 550 West C Street, Suite 530

5 San Diego, CA 92101

T: (619) 232-0331

F: (619) 232-4019

7 **NATALIE H. LOCKE (CSB No.**

1261363)

8 natalie@perfect10.com

9 Perfect 10, Inc.

10 11803 Norfield Court

11 Los Angeles, CA 90077

T: (310) 476-0794

F: (310) 476-8138

Counsels for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.

16 **U.S. DISTRICT COURT**
17 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (SAN DIEGO)**

20 PERFECT 10, INC.

21 Plaintiff

23 IWEB GROUP, INC., IWEB
24 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INC.,
25 IWEB TECHNOLOGIES, INC., AND
DOES 1-100,

26 Defendants.

20 **Case No. 13-CV- 0328 – BTM -
BLM**

21 **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

23 **JOINT STIPULATION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING
TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO
OPPOSE DEFENDANTS' RULE 12
MOTION AND EXTENDING TIME
FOR DEFENDANTS' REPLY**

28 JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME
FOR PLAINTIFF TO OPPOSE MOTION TO
DISMISS AND FOR DEFENDANT TO
REPLY
00046156.DOCX

CASE NO. 13 CV 0328 BTM BLM

STIPULATION

Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc. (“Perfect 10” or “Plaintiff”) and Defendants iWeb Group, Inc., iWeb Intellectual Property, Inc., and iWeb Technologies, Inc. (collectively the “iWeb Defendants”), by and through their undersigned counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, the parties wish to clarify the dates the Plaintiff's Opposition to iWeb Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and iWeb Defendants' Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition is due;

WHEREAS, this Court's Order of March 29, 2013, set the deadline for the iWeb Defendants' response to Perfect 10's Complaint as April 22, 2013;

WHEREAS, the iWeb Defendants timely filed their Rule 12 Motion to Dismiss April 22, 2013;

WHEREAS, by this Court's Order of March 29, 2013, Perfect 10 was granted an additional two (2) weeks to file an Opposition to any Rule 12 Motion filed by the iWeb Defendants; and

WHEREAS, by this Court's Order of March 29, 2013, the iWeb Defendants were granted an additional two (2) weeks to file a Reply to any Opposition Perfect 10 filed to the iWeb Defendants' Rule 12 motion

1 NOW THEREFORE, THE PARTIES STIPULATE AND AGREE, subject to
2 this Court's approval:

- 3
- 4 1. The deadline for Perfect 10's Opposition to the iWeb Defendants'
5 Rule 12 Motion to Dismiss shall be May 31, 2013; and
6
- 7 2. The deadline for iWeb Defendants' Reply to any Perfect 10's
8 Opposition to the iWeb Defendants' Rule 12 Motion to Dismiss shall
9 be June 21, 2013.

10 IT IS SO STIPULATED

11
12 **PERFECT 10, INC.**

13
14 By: /s/Natalie H. Locke
15 NATALIE H. LOCKE

16 Counsel for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.

17
18 **SHAWNCOULSON, LLP**

19
20 By: /s/James E. King
21 JAMES E. KING

22 Counsel for Defendants iWeb Group,
23 Inc., iWeb Intellectual Property Inc.,
24 and iWeb Technologies, Inc.